

**Table 6-4** The Dynamics of Member-to-Member Giving

Independent Variables	Democratic MCs to Incumbents, 2002	Republican MCs to Incumbents, 2002
Leader cue	.34(.04)***	.34(.03)***
Hill committee cue	.23(.03)***	.031(.03)***
Giver characteristics		
Beginning cash	.001(.001)*	.002(.000)***
Seniority	70.76(31.57)**	127.37(37.78)***
Previous vote share	6.61(11.78)	-16.75(12.94)
Currently unopposed	-138.32(430.81)	553.81(445.06)
Party unity	2819.90(1656.67)*	17306.05(5024.84)***
Receiver characteristics		
Beginning cash	-.001(.000)***	-.002(.000)***
Seniority	-9.38(10.73)	6.35(12.45)
Previous vote share	-34.82(8.29)***	-.26(4.96)
Currently unopposed	-2204.28(360.02)***	-913.37(208.51)***
Previous party unity	-7411.58(1198.17)***	-4704.22(1295.02)***
Dyadic relationship		
Ideology difference	-5356.90(1254.46)***	-4142.98(1171.63)***
Same state	1727.61(323.77)***	2163.18(293.74)***
Same cohort	322.87(175.84)*	296.07(220.14)
Constant	134033.00(62557.47)	246487.30(75472.94)
N	33306	37056
Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	.10	.10

*Note:* This table presents tobit regression coefficients (with standard errors in parentheses) where the dependent variable is the amount of money one MC gave to another during the 2002 election cycle. Standard errors are clustered on the MC.

\*\*\* =  $p < .01$ ; \*\* =  $p < .05$ ; \* =  $p < .10$